

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,880	03/09/2004	Po Yuan	308230.01	2504
27662 O4252098 MICROSOFT CORPORATION CIO LYON & HARR, LLP 300 ESPLANADE DRIVE SUITE 800			EXAMINER	
			PERUNGAVOOR, SATHYANARAYA V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OXNARD, CA 93036			2624	
			MAIL DATE	DET REPRESENTATIONS
			04/25/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/796,880 YUAN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR 2624 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 October 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7.10.11.21.22.24.25.27.29 and 30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8,9,12-20,23,26 and 28 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant(s) Response to Official Action

[1] The response filed on October 13, 2007 has been entered and made of record.

Response to Arguments

[2] Presented arguments have been fully considered but are held unpersuasive. Examiner's response to the presented arguments follows below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Summary of Arguments:

Regarding claim 1, applicant argues that Lin does not disclose the claim limitations and argues each limitation. Similarly, applicant argues claims 24 and 30 as they recite same limitations as those in claim 1.

Examiner's Response:

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Regarding claim 1, 24 and 30, Examiner maintains that Lin does disclose the claim limitations and provides a detailed explanation below.

Regarding claim 1 and similarly for claims 24 and 30, Lin discloses the following:

A computer-implemented process (i.e., fig. 1) for correcting the exposure of improperly exposed pixels of an image [col. 1, Il. 48-50], comprising using a computer to perform the following process actions: linearly expanding (i.e. normalizing) the dynamic range of the intensity levels of the image pixels so as to match the full dynamic intensity range (i.e. R_{mod} , G_{mod} , R_{mod} , G_{min} and B_{min}) available to a desired degree [col. 2, Il. 53-67]; determining whether the linearly expanded intensity levels of the image pixels are evenly distributed (i.e. whether there is no difference between the image

ralue and gamma curve value) [col. 3, Il. 45-55]; and whenever the linearly expanded intensity levels of the pixels are determined not to be evenly distributed [i.e. there is a difference between the image value and gamma curve value), applying a correction factor (i.e. replacing the image value with the gamma curve value) to the linearly expanded intensity level [i.e. normalized] of each pixel in the image to produce a corrected intensity value for each pixel and evenly distributed intensity levels [col. 3, Il. 45-55].

Accordingly, Examiner maintains the rejection,

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

[3] Rejection of claims under 102 and 103 are same as in the previous non-final office action (mailed on 07/13/2007) and are incorporated herein by reference.

Allowable Subject Matter

[4] Claims 8, 9, 12-20, 23, 26 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

[5] THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

[6] Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Sath V. Perungavoor whose telephone number is (571) 272-7455. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Matthew C. Bella whose telephone number is (571) 272-7778, can be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:00am to 5:00pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dated: April 25, 2008

/Matthew C Bella/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624

Sath V. Perungavoor Telephone: (571) 272-7455